

Note: This unofficial consolidated version of the regulations is a working instrument for information purposes only. No liability for damages or any other liability is assumed by the institution on its basis.

This unofficial consolidated version of the Criteria for Election to Titles of Higher-Education Teachers, Academic Staff and Associates at the University of Ljubljana for the areas covered by the Faculty of Health Sciences includes:

- Interpretation of the Criteria for Election to Titles of Higher-Education Teachers, Academic Staff and Associates at the University of Ljubljana for the areas covered by the Faculty of Health Sciences (adopted at the 3rd session of the UL Senate on 19 December 2017);
- amendments to the Interpretation of the Criteria for Election to Titles of Higher-Education Teachers, Academic Staff and Associates at the University of Ljubljana for the areas covered by the Faculty of Health Sciences (adopted at the 10th session of the UL Senate on 25 September 2018);
- amendments to the Interpretation of the Criteria for Election to Titles of Higher-Education Teachers, Academic Staff and Associates at the University of Ljubljana for the areas covered by the Faculty of Health Sciences (adopted at the 21st session of the UL Senate on 22 October 2019).

**Interpretation of the Criteria for Election to Titles of Higher-Education Teachers,
Academic Staff and Associates at the University of Ljubljana for the areas covered by
the Faculty of Health Sciences**

(unofficial consolidated version no 1)

1. List of journals that are, in the opinion of the profession, comparable with the journals indexed in the SCI, SSCI and AHCI in terms of quality and international standing

- 1.1.1 In the opinion of the profession, the journals indexed in the following bibliographical databases should be taken into consideration, in addition to the journals indexed in SCI, SSCI and AHCI, when evaluating candidates' academic activities: MEDLINE, CINAHL, PUBMED INSPEC, BIOSIS Previews (Biological Abstracts), CAPlus, SPORTDiscus, CAB Abstracts, ERIC, FSTA, ICONDA, SCOPUS, CSA (Sociological Abstracts) ProQuest Education Database, and Education Research Complete.
- 1.2.1 Academic monographs, which can be substituted for papers defined under the UL Criteria, must have been published by reputable publishers. Publishers that appear on the list maintained by the Slovenian Research Agency (ARRS) shall be regarded as reputable publishers.

2. Amendment to Article 47 of the Criteria for the Faculty of Health Sciences

- 2.1 The number of pure citations shall also be used to demonstrate the international standing of a candidate's work. The number of citations in the period in question shall be established by taking into consideration the citations published in that period (excluding self-citations) and relating to work published at any time in the past. Citations in academic monographs shall also be taken into consideration if supporting evidence is provided.

3. Amendment and explanation of the points system

Awarding of points for monographs and parts of monographs

- 1.2.1 An academic monograph published by a reputable publisher abroad shall be allocated up to 25 points. Publishers that appear on the list maintained by the Slovenian Research Agency (ARRS) shall be regarded as reputable publishers.
- 1.2.2 An academic monograph published in Slovenia shall be allocated up to 20 points.
- 1.3.1 A part of a foreign academic monograph published by a reputable publisher abroad shall be allocated a maximum of 8 points under the following system:
- contribution of 40 pages or more: up to 8 points;
 - contribution of 20 to 40 pages: up to 6 points;
 - contribution of 10 to 20 pages: up to 4 points;
 - contribution of up to 10 pages: up to 1 point.
- 1.3.2 Part of a Slovenian academic monograph shall be allocated a maximum of 4 points. However, the sum total of all individual parts of the monograph may not exceed the maximum permitted total number of points allocated to the monograph as a whole.
- The number of points allocated to part of an academic monograph shall be obtained by dividing the total number of points allocated to the monograph by the number of contributions published in the monograph and the number of co-authors of the contribution (e.g. 20 [total no of points for a monograph in Slovenian] : 10 [no of contributions] : 4 [no of co-authors] = 0.5 [no of points for the contribution]).
- 1.4 Published keynote plenary lecture (with evidence enclosed) – conference lecture published in full as follows:
- at domestic academic conferences with peer-reviewed contributions (COBISS: 1.06) (2 points);
 - at international academic conferences with peer-reviewed contributions (COBISS: 1.06) (5 points).
- 1.5 Sectional published keynote plenary lecture (with evidence enclosed) – conference lecture published in full as follows:
- 1.6 Documented published paper:
- at domestic academic conferences, symposiums and seminars with peer-reviewed contributions (COBISS: 1.08) (up to 0.5 points);
 - at international academic conferences, symposiums and seminars with peer-reviewed contributions (COBISS: 1.08) (up to 1 point).
- If the contributions under points 1.4, 1.5 and 1.6 are published in the form of an abstract (COBISS: 1.10, 1.12), they shall be evaluated using tenths of a point.
- 3.3 Teaching or research work at a university abroad lasting at least 90 days shall be allocated 8 teaching points. These can be allocated only once during the process of election to a specific title.
- 3.8 Participation at an organised teaching-training event can be taken into consideration only once during the process of election to a specific title.

Evaluation of professional work

| | |
|------------|--|
| 4.1 | Professional monograph (COBISS: 2.02) |
| 4.1.1 | – published by a domestic publisher (up to 3 points) |

| | |
|------------|--|
| 4.1.2 | – published by an international publisher (up to 6 points) |
| 4.2 | Editor or co-editor of a journal, book or conference proceedings |
| 4.2.1 | – domestic publications (up to 3 points) |
| 4.2.2 | – international publications (up to 6 points) |
| 4.3 | Professional article, software (COBISS: 1.04, 1.07, 1.09, 2.21) |
| 4.3.1 | Professional article (COBISS: 1.04) |
| 4.3.1.1 | – in a domestic journal (up to 0.5 points) |
| 4.3.1.2 | – in an international journal (up to 1 point) |
| 4.3.2 | Published professional conference contribution (COBISS: 1.07, 1.09) |
| 4.3.2.1 | – keynote lecture, with confirmation (up to 1 point) |
| 4.3.2.2 | – lecture, paper or poster at an international conference (up to 0.5 points) |
| 4.3.2.3 | – lecture, paper or poster at a domestic conference (up to 0.25 points) |
| 4.3.3 | Software (COBISS: 2.21) |
| 4.3.3.1 | – domestic (up to 0.5 points) |
| 4.3.3.2 | – international (up to 1 point) |
| 4.4 | Published illustration, report or expertise (COBISS: 2.12, 2.13, 2.14, 2.15) (up to 0.5 points) |
| 4.5 | General interest article (COBISS: 1.05) (up to 0.1 points) |
| 4.6 | Patents – (co-)authorship of invention (taken up or free) (COBISS: 2.24) |
| 4.6.1 | – patent awarded by the national patent office or a patent office abroad (up to 3 points) |
| 4.6.2 | – patent awarded by a European, American or Japanese patent office (up to 6 points) |
| 4.6.3 | – triadic patent awarded by a European, American and Japanese patent office (up to 12 points) |
| 4.7 | Responsibility or joint responsibility for a top sporting achievement |
| 4.7.1 | – medal at a European championship (up to 3 points) |
| 4.7.2 | – medal at a world championship (up to 5 points) |
| 4.7.3 | – Olympic medal (up to 10 points) |
| 4.8 | Other documented professional activity at the discretion of reviewers' panels (up to 12 points) |

- 4. Journals that are, in the opinion of the profession, comparable with the journals indexed in the SCI, SSCI and AHCI in terms of quality and international standing and have an IF of more than 0:**

| | | |
|--------------|--|--|
| 1.1 | Peer-reviewed articles National and international journals with peer review, international exchange and a summary in a foreign language | |
| 1.1.1 | Group I: the top 5% of most quoted journals from an individual field or an IF > 8 | 12 points |
| 1.1.2 | – Group IIa (SSCI, SCI): 1 st quartile or* IF = 2 to 8 – Group IIb (SSCI, SCI): 2 nd quartile or* IF = 1 to 2 – Group IIc (SSCI, SCI): 3 rd quartile or* IF = 0.5 to 1 – Group IId (SSCI, SCI): 4 th quartile or* IF = up to 0.5 and AHCI | 8 points 7 points 6 points 5 points |
| 1.1.3 | – Group III (journals substituting SSCI, SCI, AHCI): journals maintained by MEDLINE, CINAHL, PUBMED INSPEC, BIOSIS Previews (Biological Abstracts), CAPlus, SPORTDiscus, CAB Abstracts, ERIC, FSTA, ICONDA, SCOPUS, CSA (Sociological Abstracts) ProQuest Education Database, Education Research Complete | 4 points |
| 1.1.4 | – Group IV (other peer-reviewed journals) | 2 points |
| 1.1.5 | – Group V (other journals) | 1 point |

(List from SCI and IF for the same year in which the article was published applies)

** Article is classified in the group allocated the highest number of points, where at least one of the conditions is met.*

5. Interpretation of Articles 56, 61, 63, 67 and 69 of the UL Criteria

i. Full professor, scientific councillor

A candidate who, in addition to the overall, general and quantitative conditions for evaluation of teaching and academic activities, achieves at least 90 points cumulatively, with at least 20 points from teaching activities and at least 50 points from academic activities (at least 35 points on the basis of works published in academic journals), with at least 30 points achieved since the date of submission of the application for first election to the current title (at least 7.5 points from teaching activities and 15 points from academic activities), may be elected to the title of full professor.

A candidate who, in addition to meeting the general conditions from a research activity and the special qualitative and quantitative conditions, achieves at least 90 points may be elected to the title of scientific councillor.

A candidate must have published at least 14 works (at least 7 since the date of submission of the application for first election to the current title), where they must be the first or lead author from the list of journals from Groups I–III (mandatorily 6 works from Groups I–IIId).

A maximum of 8 articles (but not mandatorily published in journals with an IF > 0) may be substituted for other works under the Criteria for Election to Titles of Higher-Education Teachers, Academic Staff and Associates at the University of Ljubljana (Article 56).

International standing: at least 30 cited articles.

ii. Associate professor, senior science officer

A candidate who, in addition to the overall, general and quantitative conditions for evaluation of teaching and academic activities, achieves at least 60 points cumulatively, with at least 15 points from teaching activities and 35 points from academic activities (at least 24 points on the basis of works published in academic journals), with at least 25 points achieved since the date

of submission of the application for first election to the current title (at least 7.5 points from teaching activities and 15 points from academic activities), may be elected to the title of associate professor.

A candidate who, in addition to meeting the general conditions from a research activity and the special qualitative and quantitative conditions, achieves at least 60 points may be elected to the title of senior science officer.

A candidate must have published at least 7 works (at least 4 since the date of submission of the application for first election to the current title), where they must be the first or lead author from the list of journals from Groups I–III (mandatorily 3 works from Groups I–IIId).

A maximum of 4 articles (but not mandatorily published in journals with an IF > 0) may be substituted for other works under the Criteria for Election to Titles of Higher-Education Teachers, Academic Staff and Associates at the University of Ljubljana (Article 61).

International standing: at least 15 cited articles.

For re-election to the title of associate professor in the same habilitation area, the candidate must, since the date the application for the previous election was submitted, achieve at least 15 points (at least 5 from teaching and at least 9 from academic or artistic activities) and must, since the date the application for the previous election was submitted, have submitted at least two important works under the Criteria for Election to Titles of Higher-Education Teachers, Academic Staff and Associates at the University of Ljubljana (Article 63). Articles from Groups I–IIId shall be regarded as important works.

iii. Assistant professor, research associate

A candidate who, in addition to the overall, general and quantitative conditions for the evaluation of academic activities, achieves at least 20 points cumulatively (at least 14 from works published in academic journals) may be elected to the title of assistant professor.

A candidate who, in addition to meeting the general conditions from a research activity and the special qualitative and quantitative conditions, achieves at least 20 points may be elected to the title of research associate.

A candidate must have published at least 3 works, where they must be the first or lead author from the list of journals from Groups I–III (mandatorily 1 work from Groups I–IIId).

A maximum of 2 articles (but not mandatorily published in journals with an IF > 0) may be substituted by an academic monograph or work in an academic monograph under the Criteria for Election to Titles of Higher-Education Teachers, Academic Staff and Associates at the University of Ljubljana (Article 67).

For re-election to the title of assistant professor in the same habilitation area, the candidate must, since the date the application for the previous election was submitted, have achieved at least 12 points (at least 3.75 points from teaching and at least 7.5 points from academic or artistic activities) and must, since the date the application for the previous election was submitted, have submitted at least one important work under the Criteria for Election to Titles of Higher-Education Teachers, Academic Staff and Associates at the University of Ljubljana (Article 69). Articles from Groups I–IIId shall be regarded as important works.